

2020 NABITA Survey Data Overview


Average Team Size

8




71% of teams
classify their membership
by categories


Meeting Frequency



Meet
Weekly



Meet 2x a
Month



Meet
Monthly

Most Common Core Members



Residence Life
92%



Counseling
90%



Campus Safety
90%



Student Conduct
80%



Dean of Students
73%



**Disability/
ADA**
72%



Case Manager
63%



Title IX
52%

Risk Measurement

82%

Objectively (standardized rubric)

16%

Subjectively

2%

None

93% of teams use the NaBITA
Risk Rubric to measure risk

Team Chair

46% 48%



Dean of
Students

VP
Student Affairs

Counseling

Conduct

Case Manager

Tool Usage



NABITA Risk Rubric



VRAW2



Looking Glass




SIVRA-35



ERIS

Referral Reasons

Conduct Issues
Disruption
Vandalism
Threatening Behavior
Physical Violence
General Well-being
Academics
Financial
Relationships
Social Service Needs



Alcohol/ Drug
Acute Intoxication
Substance Misuse/Abuse

Psychological
Depression
Anxiety
Suicide
Asperger's
Psychosis

Record Content

Demographics
93%

Risk Rating
74%


Case Notes
84%



Summary of Incident/
Concerns
97%

Intervention Plan
83%

Record Keeping



BIT and Case Management

63% Have a Case Manager as part of the BIT Membership

20% Case Manager is solely dedicated to the BIT

Team Scope

78% Student-focused BIT

9% Employee-focused BIT


43% Joint BIT for students and employees



93% of teams

use marketing and advertising to make their communities aware of the team .

Website Content



Team Training



Lack of budget and time for training was commonly reported as a weakness for teams.

