




National Association
for Behavioral Intervention
and Threat Assessment

State of the Field

Overview of the 2020 NABITA Survey Data


Participant Demographics

Institution Type



■ 2 Year ■ 4+ Year ■ K-12 ■ Not a School

Student Enrollment



■ Student Enrollment

Sample

We solicited responses from NABITA members, webinar participants, training and certification course attendees, social media, email campaigns, and other association listservs.



166

Participants



76%

Non-Residential



80%

Public




20%

Private

Team Overview

2020 NABITA Survey Results

Average Age of Team




**78% of teams
are primarily prevention focused**

This is up from 70% in 2018 and 67% in 2016, demonstrating a rise in teams using a BIT/CARE team model that focuses on prevention/early intervention efforts.



**43% of teams
jointly monitor faculty/staff and
student concerns**

Team Name 2018




BIT

CARE

SOC

Team Name 2020



BIT


CARE

SOC

Membership and Leadership

2020 NABITA Survey Results

Team Membership




Average Team Size

8



71% of teams
classify their membership
by categories

Team Chair




Team Meetings


2020 NABITA Survey Results

Meeting Frequency


Teams are meeting more often than they have in the past with an **increasing number of teams meeting weekly**. On average, teams report **cancelling 4 meetings** per year



Meet
Weekly





Meet 2x a
Month



Meet
Monthly

Team Agenda

Use an agenda



Send it out ahead of time



Agenda Items

95% Name of individual

67% Presenting concern

26% On/off campus

53% Name of referral source


42% Year in school

5% Risk Level

Risk Assessment

2020 NABITA Survey Results

Risk Assessment




73% of teams
Use an objective risk rubric on
every case referred to the team




115%
Increase in consistent use of a risk
rubric since 2016

Risk Assessment Guides...



Tool Usage




NABITA Risk Rubric




VRAW²



Looking Glass



SIVRA-35



ERIS

Referrals

2020 NABITA Survey Results

Team Referrals



91%
of teams

Receive referrals online



83%
of teams

Receive referrals by email



72%
of teams

Receive referrals by phone



54%
of teams

**Receive referrals directly
to the team chair**

55% of team members
read the referrals before the BIT meeting



Referral Reasons

General Well-being

- Academics
- Financial
- Relationships
- Social Service Needs

Conduct Issues


- Disruption
- Vandalism
- Threatening Behavior
- Physical Violence

Alcohol/Drug

- Acute Intoxication
- Substance Misuse/Abuse


Psychological

- Depression
- Anxiety
- Suicide
- Asperger's
- Psychosis




Common Referral Risk Ratings


Mild or Moderate is
the most common
risk rating




Mild or Moderate is
the least common
risk rating



Critical is the most
common risk rating




Critical is the least
common risk rating



Record Keeping

2020 NABITA Survey Results

Record Keeping System



Record Content

Demographics

93%

Risk Rating

74%

Case Notes

84%

Summary of
Incident/Concerns

97%


Intervention Plan

83%

Marketing and Advertising

2020 NABITA Survey Results

Website Content



79% of teams
Have a website




31% of teams
Have a logo

Advertising and Education



79%
of teams

**Make efforts to
educate their
community and
make them aware of
the team's efforts**



Team Training

2020 NABITA Survey Results

Team Training

Lack of budget and time for training was commonly reported as a weakness for teams.



Training
Methods

